

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/601,683	1,683 06/23/2003		Gino Bassi	71027	7650
23872	7590	06/30/2005		EXAMINER	
MCGLEW	& TUTT	LE, PC	LOWE, MICHAEL S		
P.O. BOX 92 SCARBORG		ATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227				3652	
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/601,683	BASSI, GINO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	M. Scott Lowe	3652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cornmunication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers	,						
 9) ∑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ∑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ∑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/23/03. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3652

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because figures 2 & 8 are incorrectly labeled. Figures should be individually labeled, i.e. Figure 2A, Figure 2B, etc. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is incorrectly labelled. It should be labelled "Abstract" not "New" Abstract'. Furthermore the

Art Unit: 3652

duplication of the abstract should be removed from page 1 of the specification.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter. For example page 2, line 25 states "automatically feel machines" and page 4, line 17 states "the platform will interest in the same manner".

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 6 states "all being equally oriented". This is unclear, for sake of examination it is assumed that the applicant meant "all said articles being oriented the same". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Art Unit: 3652

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation "for the automatic removal of tubular textiles", and the claim also recites "particularly for the production of stockings, socks and pantyhose articles" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim 3 states "consists in the surface of a ring-closed belt". This is unclear, for sake of examination it is assumed applicant meant "comprises the surface of a ring-closed belt".

Claims 4-10 recite the limitation "it" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 5,8-10 recite the limitation "said removal member" in the last two lines. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3652

Claims 6-7 state "movable from and toward said platform", but it is unclear what item is being referred to by this limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Migliorini (US 6,386,801) in view of Warren (US 3,805,943).

Re claim 1, Migliorini teaches an apparatus for the automatic removal of tubular textiles 2, particularly for the production of stockings, socks and pantyhose articles but does not reveal how the textiles are delivered to the removal device 1. Warren teaches a known device for delivering articles to a removal device that comprises a plurality of containers 10, each of which contains a predetermined number of articles (not numbered) all being equally oriented and that is supported by a motor-driven platform 14 to allow moving each container 10 between a position of automatic removal of the articles and a different, standby or filling position in order to save labor and ensure the safe transfer of the articles (column 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Migliorini by the general teaching of Warren to have a plurality of containers, each of which

Art Unit: 3652

contains a predetermined number of articles all being equally oriented and that is supported by a motor-driven platform to allow moving each container between a position of automatic removal of the articles and a different, standby or filling position in order to save labor and ensure the safe transfer of the articles.

Re claims 2,3, Migliorini as already modified in claim 1, teaches said movable platform 14 consists of a table, plate, and/or surface of a ring-closed belt.

Re claims 4,6,7, Migliorini teaches a member 16 for the pneumatic removal of articles and movable from and toward said platform 14.

Re claims 5,8-10, Migliorini teaches a device 1 for controlling the orientation of the articles 2 the said device 1 being downstream of said removal member.

Conclusion -

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Scott Lowe whose telephone number is (571) 272-6929. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-4:30pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6607. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3652

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

msl

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600